CYSE 101 - Fall 2024 – Case Study Presentation Evaluation Form

Presenter: Group 2 Paper Title: Yahoo attack

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CONTENT**  Problem addressed and its solution well explained / Contextual information provided | Poor  1 | Weak  2 | Ok  3 | Good  4 | Excel  5 |
| Cyber Security aspects well explored / Technical depth was adequate | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **CRITICAL THINKING** | Poor | Weak | Ok | Good | Excel |
| Description of the strengths and weaknesses of the approach | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Questions were properly and effectively answered | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Presenter went beyond describing the paper | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **ORGANIZATION** | **Poor** | **Weak** | **Ok** | **Good** | **Excel** |
| Appropriate use of media / quality of slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Smooth and logical flow of topics and ideas | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **DELIVERY** | Poor | Weak | Ok | Good | Excel |
| Stayed within time constraints, pace well coordinated, no rushing through slides | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Presenter knowledgeable and well prepared, no “slide reading” | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Oral and visual communication clear? Presentation engaging/interesting? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **OVERALL COMMENTS:** |  |  |  |  |  |

It was very informative and kept me interested.

**JUDGE NAME: OVERALL SCORE:**